

Consultation on the Information Guidelines

The Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development (IESC) is seeking comment on the draft update of the IESC Information Guidelines.

Views are also sought on:

- the content of the draft update of the Information Guidelines, particularly any areas where further explanation would be useful
- the relevance to your specific area of work and any views on its uptake and adoption.
- potential options to increase uptake and adoption.

The IESC and the Information Guidelines

The IESC is a statutory body under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (Cth) (EPBC Act). One of the IESC's key legislative functions is to provide scientific advice to the Commonwealth Environment Minister and relevant state ministers in relation to coal seam gas (CSG) and large coal mining development proposals that are likely to have a significant impact on water resources.

The Information Guidelines outline the information that project proponents should provide to enable the IESC to provide robust scientific advice on the potential water-related impacts of CSG and large coal mining development proposals.

Draft update of the Information Guidelines

The guidelines have been updated to provide additional and clearer guidance to project proponents on the IESC's information requirements. The update also includes additional detail on the role of risk assessments within the environmental assessment process. This is to highlight how risk assessment outcomes should underpin the environmental assessment process and guide technical and modelling work. The update also introduces a series of explanatory notes that are being developed to provide further guidance on specific components of the environmental assessment process. The draft of the first of these, *Uncertainty Analysis in Groundwater Modelling*, is currently available for comment.





Information Guidelines for proponents preparing coal seam gas and large coal mining development proposals

The role of the IESC

The Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development (the IESC) is a statutory body under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act).

The IESC's key legislative functions are to:

- provide scientific advice to the Commonwealth Environment Minister and relevant state ministers in relation to coal seam gas (CSG) and large coal mining development proposals that are likely to have a significant impact on water resources
- provide scientific advice to the Commonwealth Environment Minister on <u>bioregional assessments</u>¹ of areas of CSG and large coal mining development
- provide scientific advice to the Commonwealth Environment Minister on research priorities and projects
- collect, analyse, interpret and disseminate scientific information about the impacts of CSG and large coal mining activities on water resources
- provide scientific advice on other matters in response to a request from the Commonwealth or relevant state ministers.

Further information on the role of the IESC is available on the <u>IESC website</u>².

Purpose of these Guidelines

The Information Guidelines outline the information that project proponents should provide to enable the IESC to provide robust scientific advice to government regulators on the potential water-related impacts of CSG and large coal mining development proposals.

The guidelines were initially published in February 2013, reviewed in April 2014, June 2015 and XXXX 2018, and amended to update reference material, developments in leading practice and knowledge, take account of the IESC's experience and incorporate comments from users.

The nature of advice from the IESC

The IESC's advice to Australian government regulators on CSG and large coal mining development proposals is scientific in nature. The IESC does not make regulatory decisions; advice is provided in response to a request from a government regulator. The advice and considerations provided by the IESC are designed to support statutory decision makers in considering the best available science in the regulatory process.

The Commonwealth and declared state regulators (in accordance with section 505E of the EPBC Act) seek advice from the IESC at appropriate stages in the assessment and approvals process as required in the relevant state protocols. More information on state protocols can be found at:

- http://iesc.environment.gov.au/ committee-advice, or
- New South Wales –
 http://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/Policy-and-Legislation/Mining-and-Resources/Gateway-Assessment-and-Site-Verification
- Queensland –

 www.ehp.qld.gov.au/management/
 impact-assessment/national partnership-agreement.html
- Victoria –
 <u>http://www.dtpli.vic.gov.au/planning/environmental-assessment</u>
- South Australia <u>https://www.waterconnect.sa.gov.au/In</u>

<u>dustry-and-Mining/CSG-Coal-</u> Mining/SitePages/Home.aspx

In accordance with section 505D of the EPBC Act, the IESC is required to provide advice to the regulator within two months of receiving a request. The IESC's advice will be published on the IESC website no more than ten days after it is provided to the regulator.

Regulators have the opportunity to request advice on a project multiple times (if needed) during the assessment process. The normal request for advice timeframes (two months) will apply. The Chair may agree to expedite supplementary advice in exceptional circumstances.

The IESC's advice focuses on potential impacts of CSG and large coal mining development proposals on all aspects of water resources including water quantity, water quality, ecosystems and ecological processes that contribute to the state and value of the water resource and water-dependent assets³.

In providing advice, the IESC will consider whether a proponent's environmental assessment documentation has:

- used appropriate data and information to identify and characterise all relevant water resources and water-related assets;
- applied appropriate methods and interpreted model outputs in a logical and reasonable way to investigate the risks to those assets from the project through processes of water movement in the region;
- considered potential cumulative impacts from historic, current and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions;
- considered mitigation strategies to avoid or reduce the magnitude of impact to water resources;

- proposed effective monitoring and management to detect and ameliorate the risk of impact, and to assess the effectiveness of proposed mitigation strategies; and
- addressed the inevitable uncertainties in predictions of impacts on water resources and water-related assets.

The advice of the IESC can include but is not limited to an assessment of:

- the adequacy of water and salt balances, local and regional scale groundwater and surface water models, and any implications for water quality;
- the potential water-related impacts of the proposal and their likely risk to water resources and water-related assets;
- whether the information used and methods applied were the best available at the time, and whether the assessment of risk and uncertainty is appropriate;
- critical data and information gaps that need to be addressed to complete an adequate assessment;
- the cumulative water-related impact of the proposal in the context of past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable actions; and
- the adequacy of proposed environmental objectives, outcomes, and management measures proposed to mitigate risks, and any additional measures to mitigate risks from the proposal, including legacy issues (e.g. rehabilitation, restoration, closure, final voids, brine management etc.).

The proponent should present sufficient evidence to allow independent verification of the processes of cause and effect between the proposed project and water

resources and the magnitude of the impacts on water resources. This includes providing enough information to allow independent review and consideration of assumptions, conceptual models, and the appropriateness of numerical models. All significant conclusions made by the proponent should be verifiable by an independent reader of their environmental assessment documents.

INFORMATION NEEDS FOR IESC ADVICE

The information available will vary for individual proposals depending on the point in the regulatory assessment process at which the proposal is referred. Whether the project is a new development (greenfield) or an expansion of an existing operation (brownfield) will also affect the type and amount of information provided to the IESC. The documentation provided to the IESC needs to include the most comprehensive information possible, based on, and including, all the available data. This is particularly relevant for existing mines undergoing modification/extension or in regions where there is a lot of historical data.

Early in the assessment process (e.g. Gateway projects in NSW), preliminary conceptual and numerical or analytical models should consider all available data, and be used to identify further data that may need to be acquired. Conceptual models should identify water resources and water-dependent assets within the project area and surrounding areas, including their significance under state and Commonwealth legislation, and identify any potential impacts to water-dependent assets.

At the assessment stage, there is expected to be a clear and evidence-based determination of potential significant impacts to water resources and water-dependent assets, supported by detailed

modelling. Modelling should include detailed conceptual and numerical models at spatial and temporal scales suitable to represent physical, chemical and ecological processes associated with each identified water resource or water-dependent asset. The information provided should include a comprehensive assessment of the risk to water resources and water-dependent assets resulting from the proposed project, and details of proposed mitigation measures to manage these risks.

Proposals for expansions or modifications to existing mining operations should outline historical and existing operations, current water-related environmental approval conditions and associated approved monitoring and management plans. Any impacts to water resources and waterdependent assets from existing operations, together with the proposed expansion or modification, should be clearly identified and supported by current and historical monitoring data. Existing project data should be used to verify model predictions. Project documentation should outline how existing data have been used to assess the potential impacts of the proposed project.

It is envisaged that all the needed information will be provided by proponents in their project assessment documentation. This information may be augmented by further information required or generated by the relevant regulator.

The text below provides general guidance on IESC information needs. Specific information needed for the IESC to fulfil its role is included in the checklist at Appendix A. The checklist will assist proponents and regulators to ensure that requests for advice to the IESC are supported by appropriate information. Additionally, explanatory notes will be developed progressively to provide further guidance to proponents on the information needs of the IESC. These can be found on the IESC website⁴.

1. Description of the proposed project

A regional overview of the proposed project area including a description of the geological basin, coal resource, surface water catchments, groundwater systems, water-dependent assets, and current and reasonably foreseeable coal mining, CSG developments and other water-intensive activities, including irrigation, should be provided. Relevant information generated by a bioregional assessment should be included. Where a bioregional assessment has not been initiated and/or completed, best available information should be used in describing the existing location and condition of water resources and waterdependent assets in the region.

The description of the proposed project should clearly describe the location, purpose, scale, duration, disturbance area, and the means by which it is likely to have a significant impact on water resources and water-dependent assets. For proposals such as mine extensions, that will use existing approved infrastructure, information should clearly identify which components of the proposal are new.

A description of the statutory context, including information on the proposal's status within the regulatory assessment process, and any water management policies or regulations applicable to the proposal, including state or Commonwealth regulation of potentially impacted water resources, should also be provided.

2. Risk Assessment

Environmental assessments provide information on environmental risks and how these may be mitigated. Any modelling and technical work should be directed towards assessing and mitigating risks that arise from potential impacts, reducing uncertainty, and communicating this. The level of analysis towards any management objective would be expected to be

commensurate with the level of risk, as determined by considering the probability and potential consequences of the risk.

The risk assessment process should be commenced at an early stage of the proposed project as the progressive results provide important inputs to other stages of the environmental assessment process. Risk assessment should be an iterative process based around causal pathways with progressive results used to continually refine conceptual models, and mitigation, management and monitoring planning. As the process progresses, the effort is expected to focus on those assets at greatest risk.

The proponent will need to determine the scope of all potential impacts and their likelihood and consequence. This could include assessment of the risk of drilling and fracturing chemicals, risks from beneficial reuse of discharges, and risks from waste (e.g. brines). The potential cumulative impact of all past, present and reasonably foreseeable actions that are likely to impact on water resources and water-dependent assets should be included. The IESC will also consider whether the proponent has demonstrated that the risk can be either avoided or suitably mitigated and may suggest further actions to mitigate or manage residual risks.

The IESC will review and evaluate the proponent's assessment of risk in conjunction with any information provided by relevant Australian government regulators in their requests for IESC advice. The IESC will consider proponent's risk assessments and other assessment documentation with regard to Commonwealth and state water resource plans and schemes (e.g. the Murray-Darling Basin Scheme, Hunter River Salinity Trading Scheme) where these are applicable to the proposed development.

Available bioregional assessments will assist in the risk analyses by identifying possible risks and consequences of impacts to water resources and waterdependent assets from CSG and large coal mining development proposals within specific bioregions. The bioregional assessments used a modification of the Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) method (Ford et al. 2017⁵) and this may be an appropriate approach for proponents to use. Where a development proposal occurs within an area subject to a bioregional assessment, the IESC will consider the bioregional assessment in its review of the proponent's risk assessment.

3. Description of impacts to water resources and water-dependent assets

For all relevant water resources and waterdependent assets, descriptions of existing conditions, conceptual and/or numerical modelling of potential impacts and proposed mitigation and management measures are needed.

For each potential impact, the impact to the water resource, the resultant impact to any water-dependent assets, and the consequence or significance of the impact should be clearly articulated.

Impacts on water-dependent assets should be compared with project-specific environmental objectives and the legislated environment values and water quality objectives for surface waters and groundwaters under relevant state environmental legislation.

For brownfield projects, the impacts on water resources and water-dependent assets from the existing project should be described separately from the potential impacts of the project expansion. The potential cumulative impacts of the project in its entirety should also be described.

3.1 Conceptual models

Conceptual models are pictorial or descriptive hydrological, hydrogeological and ecological representations of the project site showing the stores, flows and uses of water, including use of water by ecosystems. Robust hydrological conceptualisations provide the scientific basis for developing analytical and numerical models and site water and salt balances. Conceptual models are also useful in the problem formulation stage of ecological risk assessment to show stressors, sources, exposure pathways and the possibility of multiple cause-effect pathways. They also help identify the areas of scientific uncertainty pertinent to the risk assessment.

Conceptual models must be based on the best available science and should consider relevant field data and investigations, expert advice, relevant scientific literature, and other appropriate information sources. Conceptual models should identify the geological formations, water resources, and water-dependent assets likely to be impacted by the proposed project, and consider how relevant geological features (e.g. faults etc.) could respond to, or affect potential impact pathways. They should be developed at appropriate scales which enable clear description of important impact pathways, how these would be influenced by the proposal, and the expected responses in identified water resources and water-dependent assets.

In some cases, it may be necessary to develop conceptual models for different components of the designated region or several models depicting different spatial and/or temporal scales. The level of detail within a conceptual model should be based on the environmental objectives, risk assessment outcomes, data availability, and knowledge of the water resources, water-dependent assets and processes within a designated region.

Further information regarding conceptual modelling, including issues of scale and uncertainty, can be found in *Modelling water-related ecological responses to coal seam gas extraction and coal mining* (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015)⁶. Relevant research commissioned using the advice of the IESC can also be accessed from the IESC website to inform the formation and evaluation of project hydrological conceptualisations.

3.2 Analytical and numerical modelling

Numerical models can predict potential impacts on water resources and water-dependent assets from a proposed project and support the exploration of management approaches to mitigate impacts. It is recognised that for projects presented to the IESC early in the assessment process, the data required for detailed modelling may not be available.

Models should be developed at an appropriate spatial (local vs regional) and temporal (life-of-project or longer if impacts are predicted) scale to fulfil a specific purpose such as understanding potential impacts to a particular water resource or water-dependent asset. This purpose should inform the model design and assumptions which should be clearly described and justified in the project assessment documentation. The model should be constructed in accordance with the conceptual model, and calibrated and verified with appropriate baseline data.

Results from modelling should be presented to show the range and likelihood of possible outcomes based on sensitivity and uncertainty analysis. These predictions should be sufficiently robust to support risk analysis and regulatory decision making. Further discussion of sensitivity and uncertainty analysis in relation to groundwater modelling and the IESC information needs is provided in an explanatory note⁷.

A detailed description of any methods and evidence (for example, monitoring data from past and current mining at the site, expert opinion, analogue sites) used in addition to, or instead of, modelling should also be provided. Sufficient detail to justify the use of these methods and to provide evidence to support conclusions is needed.

Impact analysis should be based on modelling results (or other methods, where appropriate) and should clearly articulate the potential impact pathways. The proposal should describe a clear 'line-of-sight' between each potential impact and its cause so that monitoring and management strategies can be targeted and justified. Details of the proposed monitoring and management plans should be clearly linked to the impact analysis.

3.3 Water and salt balances

Site-specific water and salt balances, complemented by an understanding of the surface water and groundwater inputs, outputs and diversions of water in the region, should be provided for both preand post-development scenarios under a range of potential climatic conditions (for example, guided by the Australian Climate Futures Tool (CSIRO, 2015⁸)).

The water and salt balances should use consistent water metrics and definitions and be accompanied by relevant contextual information and statements of accuracy (for example, see the Water Accounting Framework for the Minerals Industry. Minerals Council of Australia, 20149 and Coal seam gas extraction: modelling groundwater impacts, Commonwealth of Australia, 2014¹⁰). Assessment documentation should provide the Water Accounting Framework for the Minerals Industry – Input-Output statement for each site, including the accuracy table (which provides information on whether the data used were measured, estimated or simulated and the accuracy of the data).

Information is needed about the set of water and salt stores for the site and the movement of water and salt between stores, tasks (such as coal handling and processing, dust suppression, underground mining), and treatment plants within the site. This should include estimates of water use in transpiration by vegetation, including seasonal and interannual variations, and the predicted changes to vegetation water use as a result of the proposal. An assessment of the potential impact of any changes to any store or flow of water and mass or concentration of salt, including long-term storage, arising from the proposed project on water-dependent assets is needed.

Estimates of the quality and quantity of external water supply and operational discharges under dry, median and wet conditions and the likely impacts on water-dependent assets should be provided. Volumes and quality of operational discharges, as well as beneficial uses, should be described and predicted over the project life.

It is noted that for greenfield coal seam gas projects, there is a large degree of uncertainty around produced water volumes and salt loads. Regardless, estimates of water and salt volumes, and the uncertainty associated with these estimates, should be quantified and communicated in the assessment documentation. Proposed management options for produced salt and brines should consider the uncertainty in these estimates and the potential for, and nature of, possible contaminants in the salt and brines. Management options for salt and brines should be considered with regard to their appropriateness over all time scales from short- to long-term and after project closure.

4. Baseline Data

Baseline data provide the foundation for developing environmental objectives and outcomes. Baseline measurements are also required to measure changes to water resources and water-dependent assets as a result of the proposed project. Baseline data are needed for all water resources, including contextual information such as dates and locations of measurements, sampling protocols, flow conditions and elevations of the reference points from which water levels were measured.

Baseline monitoring data for physicochemical parameters, as well as contaminants (e.g. metals) in surface and groundwaters, should be included. Physico-chemical parameters should be compared to national/regional guidelines or to site-specific guidelines derived from reference condition monitoring if available. Baseline contaminant concentrations should be compared to national guidelines, allowing for local background correction if required.

Baseline ecological data should be sufficient to identify all surface water-dependent and groundwater-dependent assets and the current condition of and stressors on these assets to inform ecological risk assessment. Results of habitat, fauna (including stygofauna) and flora surveys should be included.

Adequate ecological and hydrological (for quick response systems) baseline data would generally be for a period of at least two years, at a frequency sufficient to capture likely variability in the system and taking into account seasonal variability. Relevant information generated by a bioregional assessment should be included.

Key areas of uncertainty identified in conceptual models should be evaluated with targeted field programs to inform the risk assessment. The connectivity between geological formations and key water-dependent assets, the sources of water sustaining GDEs, and the hydraulic properties of faults and aquitards are common uncertainty factors in CSG and coal mining development proposals. These can be evaluated using a range of approaches, including coring programs, downhole logging, geophysical measurements and environmental tracers.

5. Monitoring and Management

Proposed management and mitigation measures should be detailed, and references provided to previous projects, case studies and scientific literature that support the adequacy of the measure in the project context. The monitoring plan should detail how performance of the proposed mitigation measures will be assessed. It should also outline contingency plans if the environmental objectives are not met. If offsets are proposed, the potential management options that were considered and investigated prior to proposing offsets should be described.

Plans for ongoing monitoring and management are expected where significant impacts to water resources and water-dependent assets are predicted. Plans should focus on a robust monitoring program to inform the management and mitigation of likely impacts and to reduce the uncertainty of predicted impacts.

The monitoring program should include groundwater, surface water and associated water quality and ecological attributes and be capable of tracking changes from predevelopment conditions. There is usually a need for concurrent baseline monitoring from unimpacted control and reference sites to distinguish project-induced impacts from background variation (i.e. induced by other water users and climatic variability) in the region (e.g. using Before-After-Control-Impact (BACI) model designs, see Downes et al. (2002)¹¹ for further discussion).

The rationale and design for the monitoring program should be provided, including appropriate quality assurance. These should include the questions to be answered by the monitoring program, the temporal and spatial frequency (or resolution) of monitoring, the potential parameters and indicators to be monitored, and the analytical methods to be applied.

The monitoring program should identify the thresholds associated with environmental objectives and outcomes and the proposed management measures if those guideline values are exceeded. Guideline values should be based on the best available science, including expert opinion. Any departures from published guidelines or standard monitoring methods should be justified based on site-specific data.

Information is needed on findings from the monitoring program, including monitoring data, data analysis outputs and approaches, quality assurance and quality control measures implemented and the performance of mitigation measures against the environmental objectives. The monitoring and management program should be robust and provide for an adaptive management approach to predicted impacts to water resources and water-dependent assets. Finally, the proposal should specify how the strategies described in the management program address long-term risks, including those persisting after rehabilitation and relinquishment of the site.

6. Cumulative impacts

An assessment of cumulative impacts is needed to determine the risks posed by the proposed project within the region. The assessment of cumulative impacts needs to consider all relevant past, present and reasonably foreseeable actions, including impacts from water-intensive activities other than mining and CSG, and programs and policies that are likely to impact on

water resources. Impacts from a new project can be small but when these are considered with the impacts from existing developments a threshold of acceptable total impact may be crossed. Water resources are managed formally in this way with total diversion limits that cannot be exceeded, even by a small amount.

The scale of a cumulative impact assessment needs to cover spatial and temporal boundaries large enough to include all potential significant impacts on water resources from the proposed project, when considered with other activities within the region.

A quantitative assessment of cumulative impacts is preferred. However, a qualitative or semi-quantitative approach may be used if data are lacking. Assessments may also require consideration of interactive or synergistic impacts in addition to a summation of individual proposals or impacts, and their changing impacts over time.

There may be a need to further develop groundwater and surface water models to enable the prediction of cumulative impacts. Local-scale cumulative impact assessments should be undertaken by the proponent. These would ideally be informed by regional assessments such as strategic assessments, Cumulative Management Area models and bioregional assessments.

GLOSSARY

For the purpose of the Information Guidelines:

Analytical models make simplifying assumptions (for example, properties of the aquifer are considered to be constant in space and time) to enable an exact mathematical solution of a given problem.

Assessment documentation is all documentation required by the relevant state regulator to fulfil the requirements of the environmental assessment process at the relevant stage for the proposed project.

BACI design refers to impact assessment using the Before-After-Control-Impact model. At a minimum, a BACI design requires data from two sites, corresponding to a control site and an impact site. Data are collected from both sites a number of times before and after the impact occurs.

Baseline data, also called pre-operational data are collected before a development begins to establish conditions against which impacts can be identified when developments commence.

Bioregional assessments are a scientific analysis of the ecology, hydrology, geology and hydrogeology of a bioregion, with explicit assessment of the potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of CSG and coal mining development on water resources. The central purpose of bioregional assessments is to inform the understanding of impacts on and risks to water-dependent assets that arise in response to current and future pathways of CSG and large coal mining development.

Coal seam gas development is defined under the EPBC Act as any activity involving CSG extraction that has, or is likely to have, a significant impact on water resources (including any impacts of associated salt production and/or salinity), either in its own right or when considered with other developments, whether past, present or reasonably foreseeable.

Conceptual model is a descriptive and/or schematic hydrological, hydrogeological and ecological representation of the site showing the stores, flows and uses of water, which illustrates the geological formations, water resources and water-dependent assets. It provides the basis for developing water and salt balances and inferring water-related ecological responses to changes in hydrology, hydrogeology and water quality.

Cumulative impact is defined as the total impact of a CSG and/or large coal mining development on water resources when all past, present and/or reasonably foreseeable actions that are likely to impact on water resources are considered.

Ecological processes are part of the components that contribute to the physical state and environmental value of a water resource and can include processes such as nutrient cycling, eutrophication and carbon metabolism.

Environmental objective for each water resource or water-dependent asset is the desired goal that, if met, will indicate that the proposal is not expected to have an unacceptable impact on the environment.

Environmental outcome is a statement of an acceptable level of impact to a water resource or water-dependent asset that must not be exceeded, or a level of protection that must be

achieved. The outcome will be aligned with an environmental objective and must be quantitatively measureable and achievable.

Environmental tracers are naturally occurring or artificially constructed compounds dissolved in water (or some property of the water molecule) that can be used to identify the source of groundwater, its 'age' or residence time in an aquifer, and preferred groundwater discharge location. The stable isotopes of the water molecule, tritium, carbon-14 and helium-4 are examples of common tracers used in environmental impact assessment.

Groundwater-dependent ecosystems (GDEs) are ecosystems that require access to groundwater on a permanent or intermittent basis to meet all or some of their water requirements so as to maintain their communities of plants and animals, ecological processes and ecosystem services. GDEs include terrestrial vegetation, wetlands (swamps, lakes and rivers) and ecosystems in aquifers and caves. The types and characteristics of GDEs are discussed further in the Explanatory Note for GDEs¹².

Guidelines with reference to water quality are a numerical concentration limit or narrative statement recommended to support and maintain a designated water use¹³.

Large coal mining development is defined under the EPBC Act as any coal mining activity that has, or is likely to have, a significant impact on water resources (including any impacts of associated salt production and/or salinity), either in its own right or when considered with other developments, whether past, present or reasonably foreseeable.

Numerical models divide space and/or time into discrete pieces. They are similar to analytical models as they make simplifying assumptions. However, features of the governing equations and boundary conditions (for example, aquifer geometry, hydrogeological properties, pumping rates or sources of solute) can be specified as varying over space and time. This enables more complex, and potentially more realistic, representation of a groundwater or surface water system than could be achieved with an analytical model.

Significant impact is defined by the *Significant Impact Guidelines (2013)*¹⁴ as an impact which is important, notable or of consequence, having regard to its context or intensity. Whether or not an action is likely to have a significant impact depends upon the sensitivity, value and quality of the water resource which is impacted, and upon the intensity, duration, magnitude and geographic extent of the impacts.

Water balance is a mathematical expression of water flows and exchanges, described as inputs, outputs and changes in storage. Surface water, groundwater and atmospheric components should be included.

Water-dependent asset is an entity with characteristics having value and which can be linked directly or indirectly to a dependency on water quantity or quality (amended from Barrett et al. 2013¹⁵). Value may include water-dependent ecosystems, drinking water, public health, recreation and amenity, Indigenous and cultural values, fisheries, tourism, navigation, agriculture and industry values.

Water-dependent ecosystems are defined by the *Water Act 2007* (Cth) as surface water ecosystems or groundwater ecosystems, and their natural components and processes, that depend on periodic or sustained inundation, waterlogging or significant inputs of water for their ecological integrity and includes ecosystems associated with a wetland, stream, lake or waterbody, salt marsh, estuary, karst system or groundwater system. A reference to a water-dependent ecosystem includes the biodiversity of the ecosystem.

Water resource is defined by the *Water Act 2007* (Cth) as "surface water or groundwater or a watercourse, lake, wetland or aquifer (whether or not it currently has water in it); and includes all aspects of the water resource, including water, organisms and other components and ecosystems that contribute to the physical state and environmental value of the water resource." Broadly, a water resource encompasses the water body itself and all aspects that contribute to its physical state and environmental value, such as the associated water quality and any associated organisms, ecological processes and ecosystems.

CHECKLIST OF SPECIFIC INFORMATION NEEDS

Specific guidance on IESC information needs is provided below. This checklist reflects the approach taken by the IESC when assessing project documentation. The checklist should be considered in addition to the general guidance provided in the main body of the Information Guidelines and any Explanatory Notes.

The IESC recognises that at the early assessment stage, for example a greenfield site, project documentation may not contain sufficient data to allow a robust environmental impact assessment. Where data and analyses are lacking, a sound conceptualisation of the system is essential, with explicit explanations of underlying assumptions. Plans to improve the understanding of the system over time, including details of when and how data to support assumptions will be gathered, must also be provided.

De	Description of the proposal			
	A regional overview of the proposed project area including a description of the geological basin, coal resource, surface water catchments, groundwater systems, water-dependent assets, and past, current and reasonably foreseeable coal mining and CSG developments.		A description of the proposal's location, purpose, scale, duration, disturbance area, and the means by which it is likely to have a significant impact on water resources and water-dependent assets.	
	A description of the statutory context, including information on the proposal's status within the regulatory assessment process and on any water management policies or regulations applicable to the proposal.		A description of how impacted water resources are currently being regulated under state or Commonwealth law, including whether there are any applicable standard conditions.	
Risk Assessment				
	Identification and assessment of all potential environmental risks and their possible impacts. In selecting a risk assessment approach consideration should be given to the complexity of the project, and the probability and potential consequences of risks.		Causal mechanisms and pathways identified in the risk assessment are incorporated in conceptual and numerical modelling. The results of these models should then be used to update the risk assessment.	
	Risks are assessed following the implementation of any proposed mitigation and management options to determine if these will reduce risks to an acceptable level based on the identified environmental objectives.		The risk assessment should include an assessment of all potential cumulative impacts and mitigation and management options for these.	

Groundwater				
Context and conceptualisation				
 Descriptions and mapping of geology at an appropriate level of horizontal and vertical resolution including: Definition of the geological sequence/s in the area, with names and descriptions of the formations with accompanying surface geology, cross-sections and any relevant field data. Geological maps appropriately annotated with symbols that denote fault type, throw and the parts of sequences the faults intersect or displace. 	□ Data to demonstrate the varying depths to the hydrogeological units and associated standing water levels or potentiometric heads, including direction of groundwater flow, contour maps, and hydrographs.			
 Definition and description or characterisation of significant geological structures (e.g. faults, folds, intrusives) and associated fracturing in the area and their influence on groundwater. In particular, groundwater flow, discharge or recharge. Site-specific studies (e.g. geophysical, coring / wireline logging etc.) should give consideration to characterising and detailing the local stress regime and fault structure (e.g. damage zone size, open/closed along fault plane, presence of clay/shale smear, fault jogs or splays). A discussion on how this fits into the fault's potential influence on regional-scale groundwater conditions should also be included. 	☐ Hydrochemical (e.g. acidity/alkalinity, electrical conductivity, metals, major ions) and environmental tracer (e.g. stable isotopes of water, tritium, helium, strontium isotopes, etc.) characterisation to identify sources of water, recharge rates, transit times in aquifers, connectivity between geological units and groundwater discharge locations.			
☐ Site-specific values for hydraulic parameters (e.g. vertical and horizontal hydraulic conductivity and specific yield or specific storage characteristics) for each hydrogeological unit. In situ observations of these parameters should be sufficient to characterise the heterogeneity of these properties for modelling.	☐ Description of the likely recharge, discharge and flow pathways for all hydrogeological units likely to be impacted by the proposed development.			
☐ Time series level and water quality data representative of seasonal and climatic cycles.	☐ Assessment of the frequency (and time lags if any), location, volume and direction of interactions between water resources, including surface water/groundwater connectivity, inter-aquifer connectivity and connectivity with sea water.			

An	alytical and numerical modelling	
	A detailed description of all analytical and/or numerical models used, and any methods and evidence (e.g. expert opinion, analogue sites) employed in addition to modelling.	An explanation of the model conceptualisation of the hydrogeological system or systems, including multiple conceptual models if appropriate. Key assumptions and model limitations with any consequences should also be described.
	Undertaken in accordance with the Australian Groundwater Modelling Guidelines ¹⁶ , including independent peer review.	Consideration of a variety of boundary conditions across the model domain, including constant head or general head boundaries, river cells and drains, to enable a comparison of groundwater model outputs to seasonal field observations.
	Calibration with adequate monitoring data, ideally with calibration targets related to model prediction (e.g. use baseflow calibration targets where predicting changes to baseflow).	Sensitivity analysis and uncertainty analysis of boundary conditions and hydraulic and storage parameters, and justification for the conditions applied in the final groundwater model (see Explanatory Note ⁷).
	Representations of each hydrogeological unit, including the thickness, storage and hydraulic characteristics, and linkages between units, if any.	An assessment of the quality of, and risks and uncertainty inherent in, the data used to establish baseline conditions and in modelling, particularly with respect to predicted potential impact scenarios.
	Representation of the existing recharge/discharge pathways of the units and the changes that are predicted to occur upon commencement, throughout, and after completion of the proposed project.	Uncertainty analysis of model construction, data, conceptualisation and predictions (see Explanatory Note ⁷).
	Incorporation of the various stages of the proposed project (construction, operation and rehabilitation) with predictions of water level and/or pressure declines and recovery in each hydrogeological unit for the life of the project and beyond, including surface contour maps for all hydrogeological units.	A program for review and update of the models as more data and information become available, including reporting requirements.
	Identification of the volumes of water predicted to be taken annually with an indication of the proportion supplied from each hydrogeological unit.	Information on the magnitude and time for maximum drawdown and post-development drawdown equilibrium to be reached.
	Verification of model with past and/or existing site monitoring data.	

Impacts to water resources and water-dependent assets			
 □ An assessment of the potential impacts of the proposal, including how impacts are predicted to change over time and any residual long-term impacts: Description of any hydrogeological units that will be directly or indirectly dewatered or depressurised, including the extent of impact on hydrological interactions between water resources, surface water/groundwater connectivity, inter-aquifer connectivity and connectivity with sea water. The effects of dewatering and depressurisation (including lateral effects) on water resources, water-dependent assets, groundwater, flow direction and surface topography, including resultant impacts on the groundwater balance. Description of potential impacts on hydraulic and storage properties of hydrogeological units, including changes in storage, potential for physical transmission of water within and between units, and estimates of likelihood of leakage of contaminants through hydrogeological units. Consideration of possible fracturing of 	□ Description of the water resources and water-dependent assets that will be directly impacted by mining or CSG operations, including hydrogeological units that will be exposed/partially removed by open cut mining and/or underground mining.		
	☐ For each potentially impacted water resource, a clear description of the impact to the resource, the resultant impact to any water-dependent assets dependent on the resource, and the consequence or significance of the impact.		
	☐ Description of existing water quality guidelines, environmental flow objectives and other requirements (e.g. water planning rules) for the groundwater basin(s) within which the development proposal is based.		
	☐ An assessment of the cumulative impact of the proposal on groundwater when all developments (past, present and/or reasonably foreseeable) are considered in combination.		
	 Proposed mitigation and management actions for each significant impact identified, including any proposed mitigation or offset measures for long-term impacts post mining. 		
 and other damage to confining layers. For each relevant hydrogeological unit, the proportional increase in groundwater use and impacts as a consequence of the proposed project, including an assessment of any consequential increase in demand for groundwater from towns or other industries resulting from associated population or economic growth due to the proposal. 	□ Description and assessment of the adequacy of proposed measures to prevent/minimise impacts on water resources and water-dependent assets.		
Data and monitoring			
 Sufficient physical aquifer parameters and hydrogeochemical data to establish pre- development conditions, including fluctuations in groundwater levels at time intervals relevant to aquifer processes. 	☐ Long-term groundwater monitoring data, including a comprehensive assessment of all relevant chemical parameters to inform changes in groundwater quality and detect potential contamination events.		

	A robust groundwater monitoring program, utilising dedicated groundwater monitoring wells, including nested arrays where there may be connectivity between hydrogeological units, and targeting specific aquifers, providing an understanding of the groundwater regime, recharge and discharge processes and identifying changes over time.		Water quality monitoring data complying with relevant National Water Quality Management Strategy (NWQMS) guidelines ¹³ and relevant legislated state protocols ¹⁷ .	
	Targeted field programs to address key areas of uncertainty, such as the hydraulic connectivity between geological formations, the sources of groundwater sustaining GDEs, the hydraulic properties of significant faults, fracture networks and aquitards in the impacted system, etc.			
Su	rface water			
Со	ntext and conceptualisation			
	 A description of the hydrological regime of all watercourses, standing waters and springs across the site including: Geomorphology, including drainage patterns, sediment regime and floodplain features. Spatial, temporal and seasonal trends in streamflow and/or standing water levels. 		A description of the existing flood regime, including flood volume, depth, duration, extent and velocity for a range of annual exceedance probabilities, and flood hydrographs and maps identifying peak flood extent, depth and velocity. Assessments of the frequency, volume,	
	 Spatial, temporal and seasonal trends in water quality data (such as turbidity, acidity, salinity, relevant organic chemicals, metals, metalloids and radionuclides). Current stressors on watercourses, including impacts from any currently approved projects. 		seasonal variability and direction of interactions between water resources, including surface water/ groundwater connectivity and connectivity with sea water.	
Analytical and numerical modelling				
	Conceptual models at an appropriate scale, including water quality, stores, flows and use of water by ecosystems.		Description and justification of model assumptions and limitations, and calibration with appropriate surface water monitoring data.	
	Methods in accordance with the most recent publication of Australian Rainfall and Runoff ¹⁸ .		An assessment of the risks and uncertainty inherent in the data used in the modelling, particularly with respect to predicted scenarios.	
	A program for review and update of the models as more data and information becomes available.		A detailed description of any methods and evidence (e.g. expert opinion, analogue sites) employed in addition to modelling.	

Impacts to water resources and water-dependent assets ☐ Description of all potential impacts of the Existing water quality guidelines, proposed project on surface waters, environmental flow objectives and including a clear description of the impact to requirements for the surface water the resource, the resultant impact to any catchment(s) within which the development water-dependent assets dependent on the proposal is based. resource (including water-dependent ☐ Identified processes to determine surface ecosystems such as riparian zones and water quality guidelines and quantity floodplains), and the consequence or thresholds which incorporate seasonal significance of the impact, including: variation but provide early indication of Impacts on streamflow under the full potential impacts to assets. range of flow conditions. Proposed mitigation actions for each Impacts associated with surface water identified significant impact. diversions. Impacts to water quality, including Description and adequacy of proposed consideration of mixing zones. measures to prevent/minimise impacts on Estimates of the quality, quantity and water resources and water-dependent ecotoxicological effects of operational assets. discharges of water (including saline Description of the cumulative impact of the water), including potential emergency proposal on surface water resources and discharges, and the likely impacts on water-dependent assets when all water resources and water-dependent developments (past, present and/or assets. reasonably foreseeable) are considered in Identification and consideration of combination. landscape modifications, for example, subsidence, voids, on-site earthworks ☐ An assessment of the risks of flooding, including disturbance of acid-forming or including channel form and stability, water sodic soils, roadway and pipeline level, depth, extent, velocity, shear stress networks through effects on surface and stream power, and impacts to water flow, surface water quality, ecosystems, project infrastructure and the erosion, sedimentation and habitat final project landform.

fragmentation of water-dependent

species and communities.

Da	Data and monitoring				
	Monitoring sites representative of the diversity of potentially affected water-dependent assets and the nature and scale of potential impacts, and matched with suitable replicated control and reference sites (BACI design) to enable detection and monitoring of potential impacts.		A surface water monitoring program collecting sufficient data to detect and identify the cause of any changes from established baseline conditions, and assessing the effectiveness of mitigation and management measures. • Baseline monitoring data for physico-chemical parameters, as well as contaminants (e.g. metals) should be included. • Physico-chemical parameters should be compared to national/regional guidelines or to site-specific guidelines derived from reference condition monitoring if available. • Baseline contaminant concentrations should be compared to national guidelines, allowing for local background correction if required.		
	Water quality monitoring complying with relevant National Water Quality Management Strategy (NWQMS) guidelines ¹³ and relevant legislated state protocols ¹⁷ .		The rationale for selected monitoring parameters, duration, frequency and methods, including the use of satellite or aerial imagery to identify and monitor large-scale impacts.		
	Specified data sources, including streamflow data, proximity to rainfall stations, data record duration and a description of data methods, including whether missing data		Ongoing ecotoxicological monitoring, including direct toxicity assessment of discharges to surface waters where appropriate.		
	have been patched.		Identification of dedicated sites to monitor hydrology, water quality, and channel and floodplain geomorphology throughout the life of the proposed project and beyond.		
Wa	ater-dependent assets				
Context and conceptualisation					
	 Identification of water-dependent assets, including: Water-dependent fauna and flora supported by habitat, flora and fauna 		An estimation of the ecological water requirements of identified GDEs and other water-dependent assets (see Explanatory Note ¹²).		
	 (including stygofauna) surveys (see Explanatory Note¹²). Public health, recreation, amenity, Indigenous, tourism or agricultural values for each water resource. 		Identification of the hydrogeological units on which any identified GDEs are dependent (see Explanatory Note ¹²).		

	Identification of GDEs in accordance with the method outlined by Eamus et al. (2006) ¹⁹ . Information from the GDE Toolbox ²⁰ and GDE Atlas ²¹ may assist in identification of GDEs (see Explanatory Note ¹²).		An outline of the water-dependent assets and associated environmental objectives and the modelling approach to assess impacts to the assets.
	Conceptualisation and rationale for likely water-dependence, impact pathways, tolerance and resilience of water-dependent assets. Examples of ecological conceptual models can be found in Commonwealth of Australia (2015) ¹ .		A description of the process employed to determine water quality and quantity triggers and impact thresholds for water-dependent assets (e.g. threshold at which a significant impact on an asset may occur).
Im	pacts, risk assessment and management of	risk	KS
	An assessment of direct and indirect impacts on water-dependent assets, including ecological assets such as flora and fauna dependent on surface water and groundwater, springs and other GDEs (see Explanatory Note ¹²).		Estimates of the volume, beneficial uses and impact of operational discharges of water (particularly saline water), including potential emergency discharges due to unusual events, on water-dependent assets and ecological processes.
	A description of the potential range of drawdown at each affected bore, and a clear articulation of the scale of impacts to other water users.		An assessment of the overall level of risk to water-dependent assets that combines probability of occurrence with severity of impact.
	Indication of the vulnerability to contamination (for example, from salt production and salinity) and the likely impacts of contamination on the identified water-dependent assets and ecological processes.		The proposed acceptable level of impact for each water-dependent asset based on the best available science and site-specific data, and ideally developed in conjunction with stakeholders.
	Identification and consideration of landscape modifications (for example, voids, on-site earthworks, roadway and pipeline networks) and their potential effects on surface water flow, erosion and habitat fragmentation of water-dependent species and communities.		Proposed mitigation actions for each identified impact, including a description of the adequacy of the proposed measures and how these will be assessed.
Da	ata and monitoring		
	Sampling sites at an appropriate frequency and spatial coverage to establish predevelopment (baseline) conditions, and test potential responses to impacts of the proposal (see Explanatory Note ¹²).		Monitoring that identifies impacts, evaluates the effectiveness of impact prevention or mitigation strategies, measures trends in ecological responses and detects whether ecological responses are within identified thresholds of acceptable change (see Explanatory Note ¹²).
	Concurrent baseline monitoring from unimpacted control and reference sites to		Regular reporting, review and revisions to the monitoring program.
	distinguish impacts from background variation in the region (e.g. BACI design, see Explanatory Note ¹²).		Ecological monitoring complying with relevant state or national monitoring guidelines (e.g. the DSITI guideline for sampling

Water and salt balance, and water quality			
☐ Quantitative site water balance model describing the total water supply and demand under a range of rainfall conditions and allocation of water for mining activities (e.g. dust suppression, coal washing etc.), including all sources and uses.	☐ Estimates of the quality and quantity of operational discharges under dry, median and wet conditions, potential emergency discharges due to unusual events and the likely impacts on water-dependent assets.		
 Description of water requirements and on- site water management infrastructure, including modelling to demonstrate adequace under a range of potential climatic conditions 	·		
Cumulative Impacts			
Context and conceptualisation			
☐ Cumulative impact analysis with sufficient geographic and time boundaries to include all potentially significant water-related impacts.	☐ Cumulative impact analysis identifies all past, present and reasonably foreseeable actions, including development proposals, programs and policies that are likely to impact on the water resources of concern. Where a proposed project is located within the area of a bioregional assessment, the results of the bioregional assessment should be considered.		
Impacts			
 An assessment of the condition of affected water resources which includes: Identification of all water resources likely to be cumulatively impacted by the proposed development. A description of the current condition and quality of water resources and information on condition trends. Identification of ecological characteristics, processes, conditions, trends and values of water resources. Adequate water and salt balances. Identification of potential thresholds for each water resource and its likely response to change and capacity to withstand adverse impacts (e.g. altered water quality, drawdown). 	the proposed project, including alternatives, and encompassing all		

Mit	Mitigation, monitoring and management				
	Identification of modifications or alternatives to avoid, minimise or mitigate potential cumulative impacts. Evidence of the likely success of these measures (e.g. case studies) should be provided.		Identification of cumulative impact environmental objectives.		
	Identification of measures to detect and monitor cumulative impacts, pre and post development, and assess the success of mitigation strategies.		Appropriate reporting mechanisms.		
			Proposed adaptive management measures and management responses.		
Su	bsidence – underground coal mines and	l co	al seam gas		
	Predictions of subsidence impact on surface topography, water-dependent assets, groundwater (including enhanced connectivity between aquifers) and movement of water across the landscape. ^{23,24} Multiple methods of predictions should be applied with consideration of the limitations of each method including the adequacy of empirical data and site-specific geological conditions.		Description of subsidence monitoring methods, including use of remote or onground techniques and explanation of predicted accuracy of such techniques.		
	Assessment of both conventional and unconventional subsidence. For project expansions, an evaluation of past or current effects of geological structures on subsidence and implications for water resources and water-dependent assets should be provided.		Consideration of geological strata and their properties (strength/hardness/fracture propagation) in subsidence analysis and/or modelling. Anomalous and near-surface ground movements with implications for water resources and compaction of unconsolidated sediment should also be considered.		
Fir	nal landform and voids – coal mines				
	Identification and consideration of landscape modifications (for example, voids, on-site earthworks, roadway and pipeline networks) and their potential effects on surface water flow, erosion, sedimentation and habitat fragmentation of water-dependent species and communities. An assessment of the adequacy of modelling, including surface water and groundwater quantity and quality, lake behaviour, timeframes and calibration.		 An assessment of the long-term impacts to water resources posed by various options for the final landform design, including complete or partial backfilling of mining voids, which considers: Groundwater behaviour – sink or lateral flow from void. Water level recovery – rate, depth, and stabilisation point (e.g. timeframe and level in relation to existing groundwater level, surface elevation). Seepage – geochemistry and potential impacts. 		

	An evaluation of stability of void slopes where failure during extreme events or over the long term may have implications for water quality.		 Long-term water quality, including salinity, pH, metals and toxicity. Measures to prevent migration of void water off-site.
	Evaluation of mitigating inflows of saline groundwater by planning for partial backfilling of final voids.		An assessment of probability of overtopping of final voids with variable climate extremes, and management mitigations.
Ac	Acid-forming materials and other contaminants of concern		
	Identification of the presence and potential exposure of acid-sulphate soils (including oxidation from groundwater drawdown).		Handling and storage plans for acid-forming material (co-disposal, tailings dam, encapsulation).
	Identification of the presence and volume of potentially acid-forming waste rock, fine-grained amorphous sulphide minerals and coal reject/tailings material and exposure pathways.		Assessment of the potential impact to water-dependent assets, taking into account dilution factors, and including solute transport modelling where relevant, representative and statistically valid sampling, and appropriate analytical techniques.
	Identification of other sources of contaminants, such as high metal concentrations in groundwater, leachate generation potential and seepage paths.		Description of proposed measures to prevent/minimise impacts on water resources, water users and water-dependent ecosystems and species.
Hydraulic stimulation – coal seam gas			
	A description of the scale of fracturing (number of wells, number of fracturing events		
	per well), types of wells to be stimulated (vertical versus horizontal), and other forms of well stimulation (cavitation, acid flushing).		 A list of chemicals proposed for use in drilling and hydraulic fracturing including: names of the companies producing fracturing fluids and associated products; proprietary names (trade names) of
	per well), types of wells to be stimulated (vertical versus horizontal), and other forms	-	 and hydraulic fracturing including: names of the companies producing fracturing fluids and associated products; proprietary names (trade names) of compounds (fracturing fluid additives) being produced;
	per well), types of wells to be stimulated (vertical versus horizontal), and other forms of well stimulation (cavitation, acid flushing). Measuring and monitoring of fracture		 and hydraulic fracturing including: names of the companies producing fracturing fluids and associated products; proprietary names (trade names) of compounds (fracturing fluid additives)
	per well), types of wells to be stimulated (vertical versus horizontal), and other forms of well stimulation (cavitation, acid flushing). Measuring and monitoring of fracture propagation. A description of the water source for hydraulic stimulation, volume of fluid and		 and hydraulic fracturing including: names of the companies producing fracturing fluids and associated products; proprietary names (trade names) of compounds (fracturing fluid additives) being produced; chemical names of each additive used in each of the fluids; Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) numbers of each of the chemical components used in each of the fluids; general purpose and function of each of the chemicals used; mass or volume proposed for use;
	per well), types of wells to be stimulated (vertical versus horizontal), and other forms of well stimulation (cavitation, acid flushing). Measuring and monitoring of fracture propagation. A description of the water source for hydraulic stimulation, volume of fluid and mass balance (quantities/volumes). A description of the rules (e.g. water sharing plans) covering access to each water source for hydraulic stimulation and how the project		 and hydraulic fracturing including: names of the companies producing fracturing fluids and associated products; proprietary names (trade names) of compounds (fracturing fluid additives) being produced; chemical names of each additive used in each of the fluids; Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) numbers of each of the chemical components used in each of the fluids; general purpose and function of each of the chemicals used;

Appendix A

The use of drilling and hydraulic fracturing chemicals should be informed by appropriately tiered deterministic and/or probabilistic hazard and risk assessments, based on ecotoxicological testing consistent with Australian Government testing guidelines ^{25, 26} .	Chemicals for use in drilling and hydraulic fracturing must be identified as being approved for import, manufacture or use in Australia (that is, confirmed by NICNAS as being listed in the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances ²⁷).
Proposed waste management (including salt and brines) during both operations and legacy after closure.	

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

¹ Commonwealth of Australia 2015. *Bioregional Assessments*. Available [online]: http://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/ accessed January 2018.

- ³ Commonwealth of Australia 2007. *Water Act 2007.* Available [online]: https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2017C00151/Html/Text accessed January 2018.
- ⁴ Commonwealth of Australia 2018. *IESC Information Guidelines*. Available [online]:..... website under development.
- ⁵ Ford JH, Hayes KR, Henderson BL, Lewis S, Baker PA and Schmidt RK 2016. *Systematic analysis of water-related hazards associated with coal resource development, Submethodology M11 from the Bioregional Assessment Technical Programme*. Department of the Environment and Energy, Bureau of Meteorology, CSIRO and Geoscience Australia, Australia. Available [online]: http://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/methods/systematic-analysis-water-related-hazards-associated-coal-resource-development accessed January 2018.
- ⁶ Commonwealth of Australia 2015. *Modelling water-related ecological responses to coal seam gas extraction and coal mining*, prepared by Auricht Projects and the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) for the Department of the Environment, Commonwealth of Australia. Available [online]: http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/83770681-a40b-4fa2-bf6e-8d41022873bd/files/modelling-water-related-ecological-responses-csg-extraction.pdf accessed January 2018.
- ⁷ Commonwealth of Australia 2018 *Explanatory Note Uncertainty Analysis in Groundwater Modelling.* Available [online]:..... website and explanatory note under development.
- ⁸ Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation 2015. *Australian Climate Futures, Climate Change in Australia Projections for Australia's NRM Regions*. Available [online]: http://www.climatechangeinaustralia.gov.au/en/climate-projections/climate-futures-tool/introduction-climate-futures/ accessed January 2018.
- ⁹ Minerals Council of Australia 2014. Water Accounting Framework for the Minerals Industry User Guide. Available [online]: http://www.minerals.org.au/leading_practice/water_accounting_framework_for_the_australian_minerals_industry accessed January 2018.
- ¹⁰ Commonwealth of Australia 2014. *Coal seam gas extraction: modelling the groundwater impacts,* Knowledge report, prepared by Coffey Geotechnics for the Department of the Environment, Commonwealth of Australia. Available [online]: https://environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/ee38b672-6faa-452e-979f-d97b7d425333/files/csg-modelling-groundwater-impacts.pdf accessed January 2018.
- ¹¹ Downes BJ, Barmuta LA, Fairweather PG, Faith DP, Keough J, Lake PS, Mapstone BD and Quinn GP 2002. *Monitoring ecological impacts: concepts and practice in flowing waters*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK
- ¹² Commonwealth of Australia 2018. Assessing potential impacts to groundwater dependent ecosystems from coal mining and coal seam extraction. Explanatory Note IESC Information Guidelines. Available [online]:....website and explanatory note under development.
- ¹³ Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand (ANZECC/ARMCANZ) 2000. *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for fresh and marine water quality: Volume 1 The Guidelines*. Available [online]: http://agriculture.gov.au/water/quality/guidelines/volume-1 accessed January 2018.

² Commonwealth of Australia 2015. *Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development.* Available [online]: http://iesc.environment.gov.au/ accessed January 2018.

- ¹⁵ Barrett DJ, Couch CA, Metcalfe DJ, Lytton L, Adhikary DP and Schmidt RK 2013. *Methodology for bioregional assessments of the impacts of coal seam gas and coal mining development on water resources*. A report prepared for the Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development through the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Commonwealth of Australia. Available [online]: http://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/methods/bioregional-assessment-methodology accessed January 2018.
- ¹⁶ Barnett B, Townley LR, Post V, Evans RE, Hunt RJ, Peeters L, Richardson S, Werner AD, Knapton A and Boronkay A, 2012. *Australian groundwater modelling guidelines*. Waterlines report. National Water Commission, Canberra. Available [online]: <a href="http://www.groundwater.com.au/media/W1siZilsljlwMTlvMTAvMTcvMjFfNDFfMzZfOTYwX0F1c3RyYWxpyW5fZ3JvdW5kd2F0ZXJfbW9kZWxsaW5nX2d1aWRlbGluZXMucGRmll1d/Australian-groundwater-modelling-guidelines.pdf accessed January 2018.
- ¹⁷ Department of Environment and Heritage Protection 2009. *Monitoring and Sampling Manual 2009, Version 2*, July 2013 format edits. Available [online]: https://ehp.qld.gov.au/water/pdf/monitoring-man-2009-v2.pdf accessed January 2018.
- ¹⁸ Ball J, Babister M, Nathan R, Weeks W, Weinmann E, Retallick M and Testoni I (eds) 2016. Australian Rainfall & Runoff – A Guide to Flood Estimation. Commonwealth of Australia (Geoscience Australia). Available [online]: http://arr.ga.gov.au/arr-guideline accessed January 2018.
- ¹⁹ Eamus D, Froend R, Loomes R, Hose G and Murray B, 2006. A functional methodology for determining the groundwater regime needed to maintain the health of groundwater-dependent vegetation. *Australian Journal of Botany*, **54**: 97–114.
- ²⁰ Richardson S, Irvine E, Froend R, Boon P, Barber S and Bonneville B 2011, *Australian groundwater-dependent ecosystem toolbox part 1: assessment framework.* Waterlines report. National Water Commission, Canberra. Available [online]: http://webarchive.nla.gov.au/gov/20160615084626/http://archive.nwc.gov.au/library/waterlines/69-70 accessed January 2018.
- ²¹ Bureau of Meteorology, 2017. *Atlas of Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems*. Available [online]: http://www.bom.gov.au/water/groundwater/gde/map.shtml accessed January 2018.
- ²² Department of Science, Information Technology and Innovation (DSITI) 2015. Guideline for the Environmental Assessment of Subterranean Aquatic Fauna: Sampling Methods and Survey Considerations. Queensland Government. Available [online]: https://publications.qld.gov.au/dataset/f7e68ccd-8c13-422f-bd46-1b391500423f/resource/ba880910-5117-433a-b90d-2c131874a8e6/download/guideline-subterranean-aquatic-fauna.pdf accessed January 2018.
- ²³ Commonwealth of Australia, 2014. *Subsidence from coal seam gas in Australia, Background review.* Available [online]: http://www.environment.gov.au/water/publications/background-review-subsidence-coal-seam-gas-extraction-australia accessed January 2018.
- ²⁴ Commonwealth of Australia, 2014. *Subsidence from coal mining activities, Background review.* Available [online]: http://www.environment.gov.au/water/publications/background-review-subsidence-from-coal-mining-activities accessed January 2018.
- ²⁵ enHealth Council and Australian Department of Health and Ageing 2012. *Environmental health risk assessment: guidelines for assessing human health risks from environmental hazards*. Department of Health and Ageing and enHealth, Canberra. Available [online]: http://www.eh.org.au/documents/item/916 accessed January 2018.

¹⁴ Commonwealth of Australia 2013. Significant Impact Guidelines 1.3: Coal seam gas and large coal mining developments - impacts on water resources. Available [online]: http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/d078caf3-3923-4416-a743-0988ac3f1ee1/files/sig-water-resources.pdf accessed January 2018.

²⁶ NRMMC-EPHC-NHMRC 2009. *Australian Guidelines for Water Recycling (Phase 2): Managed aquifer recharge.* Natural Resource Ministerial Management Council, Environmental Protection and Heritage Council and National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra. Available [online]: http://www.environment.gov.au/water/publications/quality/water-recycling-guidelines-mar-24.html

²⁷ Australian Government Department of Health 2017. *Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (ACIS)*. Available [online]: https://www.nicnas.gov.au/chemicals-on-AICS accessed January 2018.